Jason C. Murray (State Bar No. 169806) CROWELL & MORING LLP	
515 South Flower Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
Telephone: (213) 443-5582 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690	
Email: jmurray@crowell.com	
Jeffrey H. Howard (pro hac vice)	
Jerome A. Murphy (pro hac vice) CROWELL & MORING LLP	
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	
Telephone: (202) 624-2500	
Email: jhoward@crowell.com	
jmurphy@crowell.com	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Target Corporation, Sear Roebuck and Co., Kmart Corporation, Old Comp	
Inc., Good Guys, Inc., RadioShack Corporation, and Newegg, Inc.	
[Additional counsel listed on signature page]	
[Additional counsel fisted on signature page]	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRI	
SAN FRANCIS	
SANTRANCE	CO DIVISION
-	
IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)	Master Docket No. C M:07-01827 SI
IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master Docket No. C M:07-01827 SI MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST	MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To: Target Corp., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation,	MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To: Target Corp., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation,	MDL No. 1827
ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To: Target Corp., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation,	MDL No. 1827

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6432 Filed 08/10/12 Page 2 of 2

1	The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing
2	therefore, orders as follows:
3	1. Claims asserted by Plaintiffs Target Corporation, Sears, Roebuck and Co., Kmart
4	Corporation, Old Comp Inc., Good Guys, Inc., RadioShack Corporation, and Newegg, Inc.,
5	against defendants Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. and Samsung SDI America, Inc. are dismissed with
6	prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
7	2. Each party shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
8	3. This Order shall not affect the rights or claims of Plaintiffs against any other
9	defendant or co-conspirator in the above-captioned litigation.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	This9thday of August, 2012
12	
13	and Deciron
14	Hon. Susan Y. Illston Judge, United States District Court
15	
16	DCACTIVE-19720315.1
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW